

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/663,266	09/16/2003	John Kevin Rote	TI-35799	1448
23494 75	90 04/05/2005		EXAM	INER
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED			HABERMEHL, JAMES LEE	
P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999 DALLAS, TX 75265			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,,,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		2651	
			DATE MAILED, 04/05/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be arreaded under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.13(s), in no event, however, may a raply be timely filled If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than three months after the malling date of the communication of the specified property reply rep		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
James L Habermeh 2651	Office Action Over	10/663,266	ROTE ET AL.				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Esternations of time myby te spicialize used the previouse of 3° CFR 1.13(4), in ne event, however, may a reply be timely filled by the second of the period of	Oπice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Examination of time may be analotable under the provisions of 37 GFR 1.136(s) in no event, however, may a reply be timoly filed - If the period for may be provided because the provisions of 37 GFR 1.136(s) in no event, however, may a reply be timoly filed - If the period for may be provided above, the maximum statutory period will expire an order of they (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is a specified above, the maximum statutory period will expire 30 K(6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply as specified above, the maximum statutory period will expire 30 K(6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply as specified above, the maximum statutory period will expire any information of the reply and the specified above, the maximum statutory period will expire any will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply as specified above, the maximum statutory period will expire a statutory period will be communication. - If NO period for reply as specified above, the maximum statutory period will expire any as reply be timely filed. - If NO period for reply as specified above, the maximum statutory period will be communication. - If NO period for reply as specified above, the maximum statutory period will be communication. - If NO period for reply as specified above, the maximum statutory period will be communication. - If NO period for reply as specified above, the maximum statutory period will be communication. - If NO period for reply as specified above, the maximum statutory period will be communication. - If NO period for reply as specified above, the maximum statutory period will be communication. - If NO period for reply as specified above, the maximum statutory period will be communication. - If NO period for reply as specified above, the maximum statutory period will be communicatio							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be evalated under the provisions of 3 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely fixed after SIX (6) MCMTHS from the making date of this communication, and the six (6) MCMTHS from the making date of this communication of 10 CMTHS (6) MCMTHS from the making date of this communication, each visition from the making date of this communication of 10 CMTHS (6) MCMTHS from the making date of this communication of 11 CMTHS (6) MCMTHS from the making date of this communication of 11 CMTHS (6) MCMTHS from the making date of this communication of 11 CMTHS (6) MCMTHS from the making date of this communication of 12 CMTHS (6) MCMTHS from the making date of this communication of 12 CMTHS (6) MCMTHS from the making date of this communication of 12 CMTHS (6) MCMTHS from the making date of this communication, even if timely fixed, may reduce any search patient term adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.74(b). **Status** 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 16 September 2003. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** 4) Claim(s) 1.22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 13.22 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 13.25 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 13.25 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 13.25 is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) 13.25 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 13.25 is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) 13.25 is/are allowed. 8) The drawing(s) filed on 16 September 2003 is/are: all accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 September 2003 is/are: all accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 September 2003 is/are: allowed allowed allowed allowed allowed allowed allowed allowed allowe	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
1)	 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any 						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 13-22 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3.5-10 and 12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 4 and 11 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 4 and 11 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) — are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 September 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 13-22 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3.5-10 and 12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 4 and 11 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 4 and 11 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) — are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 16 September 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1) ☐ Notice of Internation Papelication (PTO-152)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 September 2003.						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)							
Application of Claims 4) ○ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ○ Claim(s) 13-22 is/are allowed. 6) ○ Claim(s) 1-3.5-10 and 12 is/are rejected. 7) ○ Claim(s) 4 and 11 is/are objected to. 8) ○ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ○ The drawing(s) filed on 16 September 2003 is/are: a) ○ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1 ○ Notice of References Cited (PTO-992) 2 ○ Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 2 ○ Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3 ○ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
4) □ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) 13-22 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-3.5-10 and 12 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 4 and 11 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 16 September 2003 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Altachment(s) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) □ Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)	Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.						
6) Claim(s) 1-3.5-10 and 12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 4 and 11 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 4 and 11 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 September 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Authority Under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
7) ☐ Claim(s) 4 and 11 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 16 September 2003 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 20 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 210 ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 211 ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 212 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-22</u> is/are allowed.						
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 September 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 10 Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 21 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 50 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 16 September 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ☐ 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) All Interview Summary (PTO-413)	<u> </u>						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 September 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Altachment(s) A Dolice of References Cited (PTO-892) Chocked PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) A Dolice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 September 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Attachment(s) O Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) O Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) O Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application Papers						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) O Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) O Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) O Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 30 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Attachment(s) Attachment(s) A I Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. *Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)						
	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	te				

1. This Office action is in response to application filed 16 September 2003, which papers have been placed of record in the file.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-3 and 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Brito et al. Brito et al. Figures 3-5 meet all the limitations of claim 1, including sampling actuator voltage (164), processing the sample for generating a digital voltage command (150), and applying the digital voltage command to control the actuator voltage (154).

Regarding claim 2, Brito et al. converts an analog actuator voltage into a digital actuator voltage sample signal (258/266/268).

Regarding claim 3, Brito et al. converts the digital voltage command into an analog voltage level (276/282).

Regarding claim 5, Brito et al. puts the actuator in a high impedance state (Figure 3 and FLOAT), waits for an actuator current to reach approximately zero (Figure 3 and 272), and thereafter samples the actuator voltage (Figure 3 and SAMPLE).

Regarding claim 6, Brito et al. calculates a velocity error and applies velocity error compensation to the digital voltage command (144).

Regarding claim 7, Brito et al. shows subsequent to the applying step, waiting for a selected time and reiterating the sampling, processing, and applying steps (Figure 3 and 166).

4. Claims 8-10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Abe et al. Abe et al. Figure 5 meets all the limitations of claim 8, including sampling the actuator voltage (49), sampling the actuator current (48), calculating a BEMF using the samples (63/64) wherein the step of calculating BEMF is described by BEMF = Vmtr - Imtr*Rmtr (Equation 2: Bemf_h = Vs - Rm*Im), calculating a velocity error using the BEMF and a target voltage (Bemf_h/Tbemf) wherein the step of calculating velocity error is described by Ev = Vtgt - BEMF (shown at unnumbered summing junction with output = Tbemf - Bemf_h), producing a digital voltage command for compensating the actuator voltage for the velocity error (42), and applying a voltage at the actuator according to the digital command (across 52).

Regarding claim 12, Abe et al. col. 8, lines 18-19 show subsequent to the applying step waiting a selected time interval and reiterating the foregoing steps.

5. Claims 13-22 are allowed over the prior art of record. Claims 4 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Application/Control Number: 10/663,266

Art Unit: 2651

Claim 4 is allowable over the prior art of record since the cited references taken individually or in combination fails to particularly disclose a method of controlling the actuator in a hard drive assembly comprising sampling the actuator voltage, processing an actuator voltage sample for generating a digital voltage command, and applying the digital voltage command to control the actuator voltage using pulse width modulation, as presented in the environment of claim 4. It is noted that the closest prior art, Brito et al., shows a method of controlling the actuator that samples the actuator voltage, processes the sample for generating a digital voltage command, and applies the digital voltage command to control the actuator voltage. However, Brito et al. fails to disclose that applying the digital voltage command further comprises using pulse width modulation as claimed.

Claim 11 is allowable over the prior art of record since the cited references taken individually or in combination fails to particularly disclose a method of controlling the actuator in a hard drive assembly comprising the digital voltage command is described by the formula as claimed, as presented in the environment of claim 11. It is noted that the closest prior art, Abe et al., shows a similar method of controlling the actuator in a hard drive assembly. However, Nishimura et al. fails to disclose the digital voltage command is described by the formula as claimed.

Claim 13 is allowable over the prior art of record since the cited references taken individually or in combination fails to particularly disclose a velocity-controlled actuator in a hard drive assembly comprising a digital processing engine for receiving a target actuator voltage command and the digital actuator motor voltage sample and for outputting a digital voltage command for controlling the actuator motor, as presented in

Application/Control Number: 10/663,266

Art Unit: 2651

the environment of claim 13. It is noted that the closest prior art, Brito et al., shows velocity-controlling the actuator by sampling the actuator voltage, processing the sample for generating a digital voltage command, and applying the digital voltage command to control the actuator voltage. However, Brito et al. fails to disclose a digital processing engine as claimed.

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hassan et al. Figures 4-6B and Brito Figures 3-8 show voltage control of the actuator using sampled actuator BEMF voltage similar to applicant's invention. Hill ('817) Figures 3-4 and 6, Hill ('901) Figures 3-5, and Carbolante et al. Figures 3-5 show PWM voltage control of the actuator similar to applicant's invention. Galbiati et al. Figures 2-3b and 5-6, Zayas et al. Figures 1-2 and 4 and Blank et al. Figures 2-6 show control of the actuator using sampled actuator BEMF voltage similar to applicant's invention.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James L Habermehl whose telephone number is (703)305-6975. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Hudspeth can be reached on (703)308-4825. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/663,266 Page 6

Art Unit: 2651

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Habermehl/jlh 1 Apr 05 DAVID HUDSPETH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600